Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)
	10/680,852	MILLER ET AL.
	Examin r	Art Unit
	Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712
All Participants:	Status f Applicati n: Rejected	
(1) <u>Daniel S. Metzmaier</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Anthony A. Bisulca</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 June 2006	Time: ~ 12:35PM	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none.		
Claims discussed:		
none.		
Prior art documents discussed: none.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Examiner inquired regarding the status of the application. Application and the case was abandoned.	ants' representative indicated no r	esponses were filed in the
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	DAN PR	HELS. METZMAKER MARY EXAMINER PLANT 1712
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)